

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,797	04/17/2001	Henry C. Lin	(18810-81652)	9913
759	7590 09/30/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard H. Zaitien Esq.			SWARTZ, RODNEY P	
Pillsbury Winthrop LLP 725 South Figueroa Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2800			1645 ·	
Los Angeles, C	A 90017-5406	DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · / ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		·					
Office Action Summary		09/837,797	LIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with t	me correspondence address				
THE - External form of the control o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a statute, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  From the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	13July2004.					
·		This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
·		oliootion					
•	Claim(s) <u>86-123</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	,	undrawn from consideration.					
·	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>86-112 and 116-123</u> is/are rejected.						
•	<ul><li>✓ Claim(s) <u>113-115</u> is/are objected to.</li><li>☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li></ul>						
· 0)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
<b>Applicat</b>	ion Papers						
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	-					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	9, ,					
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	•	lication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th	e priority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage				
	application from the International E	•					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
Attach	<b>**</b> (a)						
Attachmer  1) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Paper No(s)/M	fail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/837,797

Art Unit: 1645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicants' Response to Office Action, received 13July2004, is acknowledged. Claims 46-85 have been canceled. New claims 86-123 have been added.
- 2. Applicants' Terminal Disclaimer, received 13July2004, is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 3. Claims 86-123 are pending and under consideration.

### **Priority Statement**

4. The priority statement at the beginning of the specification must be updated to recite applicants' priority claim to Pct applications.

# **Rejections Moot/Withdrawn**

- 5. The provisional rejection of claims 46-85 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 12 of copending Application No. 09/374,142 is most in light of the cancellation of the claims.
- 6. The rejection of claims 46-85 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treatment of SIBO in irritable bowel syndrome patients with a predigested nutritional formula VIVONEX® alone, does not reasonably provide enablement for treatment of SIBO or other SIBO caused conditions by deprivation of all/some nutrients or single nutrient nor of combination therapies with enzymes, absorption alterations, or any other therapies, is most in light of the cancellation of the claims.

## **Rejection Maintained**

7. The rejection of claims 46-85, now replaced by new claims 86-1112 and 116-123, under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treatment of SIBO in irritable bowel syndrome patients with a predigested nutritional formula VIVONEX®

Application/Control Number: 09/837,797

Art Unit: 1645

alone, does not reasonably provide enablement for treatment of SIBO or other SIBO caused conditions by deprivation of all/some nutrients or single nutrient nor of combination therapies with enzymes, absorption alterations, or any other therapies, is maintained for reasons put forth in the original rejection of claims 46-85.

Applicants argue that new claims 113-115 are drawn to a method using VIVONEX® and therefore these claims are enabled.

The examiner has considered applicants' argument concerning new claims 113-115 and finds the argument persuasive.

Applicants argue that new claims 86-112 and 116-123 also are enabled because the specification provides considerable guidance for practicing the novel methods claimed without undue experimentation. Applicants argue that the one example using VIVONEX® provides sufficient guidance for determining what forms of nutrient deprivation may be used to treat the recited conditions because VIVONEX® is representative of diets of partially predigested nutrients.

The examiner has considered applicants' arguments, but does not find them persuasive for the reasons put forth in the original rejection. In addition, while the particular formulation of VIVONEX® does appear to enable the claimed methods, the scope of the claims is drawn to any formulation of nutrients or partially predigested nutrients. The specification is insufficient for support of any/all formulations of whole or partially predigested nutrients other than the particular formulation of VIVONEX®.

#### Claim objections

8. Claims 113-115 are objected to as being dependent from rejected claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/837,797

Art Unit: 1645

#### **Conclusion**

Page 4

No claims are allowed.

10. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette F. Smith, can be reached on (571)272-0864.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/837,797

Art Unit: 1645

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1645

September 28, 2004